THE FOUNDATION THEORY OF VOCABULARY SKILLS

Raka Samdya Adaby

Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris
Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
Universitas Ibn Khaldun Bogor
rakasamdya456@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Keempat keterampilan berbahasa—berbicara, mendengarkan, membaca, dan menulis—dipengaruhi oleh kosakata, yang merupakan komponen mendasar dari kemampuan berbahasa. Guru dan peneliti dapat memberikan pelatihan bahasa yang lebih efektif dengan memiliki pemahaman yang lebih baik tentang dasar-dasar teoritis keterampilan kosakata. Hipotesis masukan, teori skema, kedalaman vs. keluasan pengetahuan kosakata, dan metode leksikal adalah beberapa teori penting dalam perolehan kosakata yang diteliti dalam karya ini. Karya ini juga membahas konsekuensi untuk penelitian dan pengajaran di kelas di masa mendatang.

Kata kunci: Keterampilan kosakata, Akuisisi bahasa, Hipotesis masukan, Pengetahuan leksikal, *EFL*.

ABSTRACT

All four language skills—speaking, listening, reading, and writing—are influenced by vocabulary, which is a fundamental component of language ability. Teachers and researchers can provide more effective language training by having a better understanding of the theoretical foundations of vocabulary skills. The input hypothesis, schema theory, depth vs. breadth of vocabulary knowledge, and the lexical method are some of the important theories in vocabulary acquisition that are examined in this work. It also discusses the ramifications for future research and classroom instruction.

Keywords : Vocabulary skills, Language acquisition, Input hypothesis, Lexical knowledge, EFL.

INTRODUCTION

In order to learn and become proficient in any language, vocabulary is essential. Learners cannot properly communicate their own thoughts or comprehend others if they lack a large enough vocabulary. "Without grammar very little can be conveyed; without vocabulary nothing can be conveyed," as Wilkins (1972) memorably observed, is still a guiding principle in language teaching. Vocabulary was taught as a supplemental element in previous methods of teaching languages, frequently through isolated word lists or rote memorization. But as time went on, scholars and educators realized that vocabulary is not only essential to communicative competence but also intricately linked to the growth of fluency, reading comprehension, and grammatical precision. As communicative language teaching (CLT) and task-based learning approaches have gained popularity, isolated memorization has given way to contextualized, meaningful involvement with language in vocabulary training. Advances in second language acquisition (SLA) theory have produced a variety of frameworks that explain how students pick up, process, and apply vocabulary. These include the input hypothesis, lexical chunks, cognitive theory, and the interplay between the breadth and depth of word knowledge. Additionally, the selection and introduction of vocabulary to pupils has been completely transformed by corpora-based approaches and technology-enhanced language learning. Additionally, significant models that describe the acquisition, storage, and retrieval of vocabulary have been developed by developments in Second Language Acquisition (SLA) theory. For instance, Krashen's information Hypothesis (1985) contends that exposure to understandable information is the most efficient way for language learners to pick up new vocabulary. In language classes, this has resulted in a greater focus on context-based education, incidental vocabulary acquisition, and substantial reading. Cognitive theories, on the other hand, have highlighted the significance of

repetition, spaced practice, and semantic mapping as well as the mental processes involved in organizing and retrieving lexical knowledge. The Lexical Approach, developed by Michael Lewis in 1993, is another significant advancement in vocabulary theory. It posits that language learning is mostly the acquisition of prefabricated language chunks rather than individual words. This method has influenced instructional strategies that highlight formulaic sequences, idiomatic phrases, and collocations as crucial elements of fluency and naturalness in language use. The use of technology in vocabulary training is equally important. The way students access, interact with, and practice new vocabulary has changed as a result of digital technologies like mobile applications, corpora, flashcard software, and multimedia resources. By facilitating multimodal input, spaced repetition, and individualized learning, these tools increase student autonomy and accommodate a variety of learning styles. Teachers, curriculum designers, and researchers must have a solid understanding of the theoretical underpinnings of language skills in light of this dynamic and complicated environment. Teachers can better meet the requirements of their students, improve retention and usage, and create a more stimulating and productive learning environment by firmly establishing vocabulary instruction in theoretical frameworks. Consequently, the purpose of this work is to examine and summarize the main theories that influence the development of vocabulary skills, such as the Input Hypothesis, Schema Theory, Lexical Approach, and the ideas of depth and breadth of lexical knowledge. The debate aims to give a thorough grasp of vocabulary development and its consequences for classroom practice, especially in the context of EFL instruction, by reviewing academic research and practical perspectives.

METHOD

Through an analysis of theoretical books, empirical research on vocabulary training and acquisition, and peer-reviewed journal articles, this report uses a qualitative literature review method. Using databases like Google Scholar, ERIC, and ResearchGate, the sources were chosen, giving preference to open-access journals and subject-matter experts.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Input Hypothesis and Vocabulary Growth.
Stephen Krashen's Input Hypothesis (1985) posits that language acquisition occurs through exposure to comprehensible input. Vocabulary acquisition is thus driven by encountering new words in context. Extensive reading, as a form of input-rich activity, has been shown to enhance vocabulary size (Krashen, 2004). This implies that a vocabulary-rich learning environment is essential for language learners.

2. The Comparative Analysis of Vocabulary Knowledge
Qian (2002) asserts that vocabulary knowledge has two main components: depth (the degree to which each word is understood) and breadth (the quantity of words known). Collocations, register, morphology, and pragmatic usage are all examples of depth. For linguistic competency, both are crucial. Teachers should emphasize both the amount and quality of students' vocabulary.

3. The Lexical Method
According to Michael Lewis's (1993) Lexical Approach, teaching 'chunks' of language—collocations, idioms, and fixed expressions—instead of individual words is prioritized. This method supports research showing fluent speakers frequently use premade phrases. Therefore, chunked vocabulary instruction can improve learners' production fluency and naturalness.

4. Acquiring Vocabulary and Cognitive Theory
The schema theory, which contends that students construct new knowledge on top of preexisting mental structures, is likewise consistent with vocabulary acquisition. Prior knowledge has a major impact on one's capacity to acquire and retain new language, particularly when it comes to reading comprehension, as Anderson and Freebody (1981) highlighted. As a result, vocabulary instruction ought to be integrated into relevant contexts and related to existing knowledge.

5. Strategies for Learning Vocabulary
Nation (2001) divided vocabulary acquisition techniques into two categories: consolidation (word cards, repetition) and exploration (guessing meaning from context, using dictionaries). Teaching students vocabulary-learning techniques improves their independence and long-term memory.

6. Technology's Impact on Vocabulary Development

Traditional teaching methods have changed in the digital age as a result of the incorporation of technology into vocabulary instruction. Access to a multitude of real materials, interactive tools, and multimodal resources made possible by technology facilitates vocabulary development in engaging ways. Personalized spaced-repetition software (SRS) like Anki or Quizlet, online corpora, e-dictionaries, and mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) applications have made it possible for learners to interact with language. It has been demonstrated that multimodal input, which combines textual, aural, and visual forms, greatly enhances word engagement and recall. For instance, students are more likely to internalize a term than those who study isolated word lists if they see it in context, hear how it is pronounced, and utilize it in a digital test. This supports the dual coding theory (Paivio, 1986), which holds that memory encoding and retrieval are improved when verbal and visual information are combined.

CONCLUSION

The process of expanding one's vocabulary is by no means solitary or straightforward. It includes a broad range of social, linguistic, and cognitive elements that interact intricately. Thus, both the quantitative (breadth) and qualitative (depth) aspects of vocabulary knowledge, the function of input and output, learner autonomy, and the strategic use of language in context must be included in a thorough understanding of vocabulary growth. The theoretical underpinnings examined in this study, ranging from the Lexical Approach and Schema Theory to Krashen's Input Hypothesis, emphasize that meaningful, contextualized, and repetitive exposure to language is necessary for efficient vocabulary development. In actuality, this entails developing learning environments that support strategic learning through learner training and metacognitive awareness, structured learning through explicit instruction, and incidental learning through reading and listening. Instructors should give their pupils the resources and techniques they need to interact with words both within and outside of the classroom. Additionally, vocabulary training ought to be scaffolded so that students can expand on their existing knowledge and get a deeper comprehension of word meanings, usage, collocations, and pragmatic functions. Vocabulary education must change as we advance in a digital and globalized world to incorporate cross-cultural considerations, multimodal texts, and mobile-assisted language learning. In order to improve learning outcomes and tailor vocabulary instruction, future studies should investigate the integration of digital tools, corpora, and learner analytics. Vocabulary is ultimately the cornerstone of language usage and communication, not only a part of language acquisition.

REFERENCES

Anderson, R. C., & Freebody, P. (1981). Vocabulary knowledge. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED214040

Krashen, S. D. (2004). The Power of Reading. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265473169

Lewis, M. (1993). The Lexical Approach. https://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/article/lexical-approach

Nation, I. S. P. (2001). Learning Vocabulary in Another Language. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309200731

Qian, D. D. (2002). Investigating the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and academic reading performance. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ647387.pdf

Wilkins, D. A. (1972). Linguistics in Language Teaching.