AMK : Abdi Masyarakat UIKA
Universitas Ibn Khaldun Bogor, Indonesia
Vol. 5, No. 1, Maret 2026, pp. 42~48
ISSN: 2964-366X, DOI: 10.32832/amk
http://ejournal.uika-bogor.ac.id/index.php/amk

Curriculum Evaluation Models: Theoretical Analysis and Implementation in Modern Education Systems

Ahmad Fauzan Aminulloh *, Nur Masyita Ramdhani, Moh Rifqi, Mohammad Yahya
Universitas Islam Negeri Kiai Haji Achmad Siddiq Jember
Jl. Mataram No.1, Karang Miuwo, Mangli, Kec. Kaliwates, Kabupaten Jember, Jawa Timur 68136, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received October 22, 2025
Revised November 29, 2025
Accepted December 27, 2025

Keywords:

Curriculum Evaluation,
Evaluation Model, CIPP,
Curriculum Development,
Education Quality

ABSTRACT

Curriculum evaluation is a systematic process used to assess the effectiveness, relevance, and achievement of educational objectives through analysis of all components of the curriculum, from planning and implementation to learning outcomes. This article comprehensively discusses various curriculum evaluation models used in modern education systems, including research models, goal-oriented models, goal-free models, multivariate mixed models, EPIC models, and CIPP models. Each model is analyzed based on its characteristics, approach, and contribution in providing a comprehensive overview of curriculum quality. Evaluation not only serves as a tool to determine the success rate of a program, but also as a basis for accountability, continuous improvement, and strategic decision-making in curriculum development. In addition to describing various evaluation models, this article also highlights the importance of the competence of evaluators, both internal and external, who have theoretical understanding, accuracy, objectivity, and professional skills in conducting evaluations. The results of the study show that the use of an appropriate evaluation model greatly influences the accuracy of the findings and recommendations produced, thereby improving the quality of learning and ensuring that the curriculum remains adaptive to developments in science and the needs of students. Thus, curriculum evaluation is an important instrument in maintaining the quality of education and supporting the effective and sustainable achievement of national education goals.

Corresponding Author:

Ahmad Fauzan Aminulloh
Universitas Islam Negeri Kiai Haji Achmad Siddiq Jember
Email: fauzanaminullah01@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

According to the Joint Committee, evaluation is a systematic investigation conducted to determine the benefits or usefulness of a particular object. Purwanto and Atwi Suparman explain that evaluation is the process of using scientific steps to collect accurate and reliable data as a basis for decision-making regarding a program. According to Rutman and Mowbray, evaluation is the application of scientific methods to assess the implementation and results of a program that serves as material for consideration in decision making. Meanwhile, Chelimsky views evaluation as a research method that is carried out regularly and systematically to assess planning, implementation, and the level of success of a program (Eli Fitrotul Arofah, 2021). Based on these various definitions,

ISSN: 2654-8127

evaluation can be understood as the process of using regular scientific procedures to assess the design, implementation, and level of success of a program.

Curriculum can be understood as a set of designs and arrangements containing objectives, materials, and learning methods used as guidelines in the educational process to achieve predetermined goals. The curriculum does not only contain a list of materials but also directs how the learning process should take place. Grayson views the curriculum as a systematically developed plan to produce the expected learning outcomes. In other words, the curriculum is a blueprint that guides educators in developing learning strategies (Laksono & Izzulka, 2022). Therefore, the material in the curriculum must be organized in an orderly manner so that educational goals and objectives can be achieved effectively. Meanwhile, Harsono explains that the curriculum is an educational idea that is manifested in concrete actions in the field. Historically, the term curriculum comes from Latin, meaning a path or route that must be taken. As time has progressed, the meaning of curriculum has broadened. Curriculum is no longer understood as merely an educational idea or concept, but also encompasses the entire learning program planned by an educational institution. This means that the curriculum includes all learning experiences that are systematically prepared to shape students to achieve the expected competencies and educational goals (Waqfin et al., 2024).

Based on the above definitions of evaluation and curriculum, curriculum evaluation can be understood as a process of regular and systematic review to assess the benefits, suitability, effectiveness, and efficiency of the curriculum used. Curriculum evaluation can also be defined as the application of scientific steps in collecting accurate and reliable data to determine decisions regarding the curriculum that is being implemented or has been implemented.

Curriculum evaluation can cover the entire curriculum or each of its components, such as the objectives, materials, and learning methods used. This evaluation plays an important role in education because it aims to determine the extent to which students have achieved the learning objectives that have been formulated. Curriculum evaluation must be carried out in a planned, regular manner and in accordance with the principles of curriculum evaluation, so that the results can truly meet the needs and capabilities of education practitioners and the wider community (Zainuri & Kanada, 2023).

The objectives of curriculum evaluation basically cover two main points. First, evaluation is carried out to determine the extent to which the program curriculum program is effective. Second, evaluation serves as a supporting tool in the process of implementing the curriculum or learning. The implementation of curriculum evaluation is aimed at the following purposes:

1. Program Improvement

In this case, evaluation plays a constructive role, as the information generated becomes the basis for refining the curriculum program being developed. Evaluation arises as an internal need of the system itself, because through evaluation, curriculum development can be directed to achieve optimal results.

2. Accountability to Various Parties

After the curriculum is developed, curriculum developers need to provide accountability reports to interested parties, such as the government, the community, parents, and education practitioners. These parties are the sponsors and users of the resulting curriculum. This objective is more of an unavoidable external demand because it is related to social, economic, and moral responsibilities in educational reform efforts. In the accountability process, curriculum developers must explain the strengths and weaknesses of the new curriculum and the necessary improvement plans. Information to explain these matters can only be obtained through evaluation activities (Sianturi et al., 2022).

3. Determining Follow-up Actions for Development Results

The evaluation results are used to answer two important questions: whether the new curriculum is feasible for widespread implementation in the education system, and if so, under what conditions and how it should be disseminated. If the final decision is that the curriculum is not feasible for implementation, then various resources such as time, money, and labor that have been used will be wasted. Students who have used the curriculum during the trial phase will also be disadvantaged, schools will have to revert to the old system, and the public may become skeptical of educational improvement efforts.

Therefore, it is more appropriate to evaluate which aspects of the curriculum need to be improved, determine the appropriate dissemination strategy, and prepare the various requirements needed by the system before the curriculum is implemented more widely. Information to answer these questions can only be obtained through an evaluation process (Sianturi et al., 2022).

METHOD

This study uses a library research approach by examining various relevant scientific sources, such as books, national and international journals, and academic documents related to curriculum evaluation. The analysis was conducted by identifying the concepts, principles, and characteristics of various curriculum evaluation models, including research models, goal-oriented models, goal-free models, multivariate mixed models, EPIC, and CIPP. These sources were compared and synthesized to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the theoretical basis, implementation mechanisms, and implications of curriculum evaluation in the context of modern education.

The data analysis process was carried out using content analysis techniques, namely by classifying information according to theme, interpreting the relationships between concepts, and drawing conclusions based on emerging patterns and findings. This study did not involve field data collection, so all information was obtained from credible and up-to-date literature. Through this method, the study produced a theoretical mapping of various curriculum evaluation models and provided an overview of their relevance and application in improving the quality of education.

RESULTS

Curiculum Evaluation Models

Curriculum evaluation is a broad field of study because it covers a variety of activities and procedures, and can even be considered a separate discipline. There are various types of curriculum evaluation models, namely:

a. Research evaluation model

A research-based curriculum evaluation model is an approach that uses theoretical foundations and scientific techniques, particularly from the fields of educational psychology and field experiments. In this model, evaluation is carried out not only by observing the curriculum implementation process, but also through standardized measurements, such as psychological tests, learning outcome tests, or other instruments that have been tested for validity and reliability. One form of approach that is often used in field experiments is the comparative approach, which is an evaluation method that compares two groups of students. Usually, one group is given a specific treatment (for example, using a new curriculum or learning method), while the other group continues to use the previous approach. Through a comparison of learning outcomes, attitude development, and other relevant aspects, evaluators can determine whether the new curriculum or treatment is more effective than the old curriculum. This approach allows evaluators to obtain strong empirical evidence because it is based on a controlled experimental process and objective data analysis.

b. Goal/objective-oriented evaluation model

This model is an evaluation approach that places goals or competencies as the main reference in assessing the success of a curriculum. In this model, evaluation is an integral part of the curriculum development process, not just a final step. Evaluation is carried out by examining the extent to which the curriculum is able to achieve the previously formulated learning objectives. Unlike models that compare one curriculum with another, this approach does not look at external comparisons. Instead, the main focus is on assessing whether students have mastered the specified competencies. Thus, the success of the curriculum is measured by the level of achievement of objectives by students, for example through tests, observations, portfolios, or other relevant assessment instruments. If students are able to achieve the competency targets that have been formulated, then the curriculum is considered to have been successfully implemented. This model is very important because it helps ensure that every element of the curriculum is in line with the expected educational objectives (Tiniyyah et al., 2023).

ISSN: 2654-8127

c. Goal-free curriculum evaluation model

The goal-free evaluation model was developed by Michael Scriven as a form of criticism of evaluation models that focus too much on learning objectives. In traditional models, evaluators usually have to understand the program objectives first, then assess whether those objectives have been achieved or not. However, Scriven argues that such an approach can make evaluators biased, because they only look for evidence of success based on predetermined objectives, thus possibly ignoring other impacts that arise during program implementation.

In the goal-free model, evaluators are not allowed to know the program objectives in detail. The main focus of evaluators is to observe what actually happens in the learning process or program implementation. Evaluators assess various actual results that arise, both the expected positive impacts and the unplanned negative side effects. Thus, this evaluation emphasizes the identification of actual results in the field without being limited by pre-formulated objectives. This approach is considered capable of revealing more objective and honest findings, as well as enabling the discovery of program benefits and weaknesses that may not be detected if the evaluation only focuses on formal objectives (Latifah, n.d.).

d. Multivariate mixed model

The multivariate mixed model is a curriculum evaluation approach that combines various elements from several evaluation models at once. This means that this model does not rely on just one type of method or perspective, but combines various assessment techniques to obtain more comprehensive evaluation results. In its application, this model allows evaluators to compare more than one curriculum at the same time. Each curriculum evaluated is then measured. its success based on specific criteria for each curriculum. Thus, the multivariate mixed model provides a more comprehensive and in-depth picture of the effectiveness of various curricula, while helping to identify which curriculum best suits the needs of students and the educational goals to be achieved (Tiniyyah et al., 2023).

e. Model Evaluation Program for Innovative Curriculums (EPIC)

The Evaluation Program for Innovative Curriculums (EPIC) model is an evaluation approach that describes the entire curriculum program in the form of a cube. This cube is used as a metaphor to show that the curriculum has several interrelated dimensions that must be evaluated comprehensively. The first side is the behavior dimension, which covers three main areas of student development, namely cognitive, affective, and psychomotor. The cognitive domain relates to thinking skills and knowledge, the affective domain relates to attitudes, values, and feelings, while the psychomotor domain concerns physical skills and concrete actions. The second side of the cube is instruction, which covers various aspects of learning implementation such as program organization, completeness and suitability of materials, learning methods used, supporting facilities or resources, and funding aspects. All elements in this field help determine whether the learning process is effective and in line with the curriculum objectives. The third side is the institutional dimension, which includes various components that support education, such as teachers, students, administrative staff, educational staff, and the role of families and communities. This dimension emphasizes that the success of a curriculum does not only depend on the learning process, but also on the support and involvement of all parties in the educational environment. By looking at these three dimensions in an integrated manner, the EPIC model provides a comprehensive and in-depth picture of curriculum evaluation.

f. CIPP Model (Context, Input, Process, and Product)

The CIPP model is an evaluation approach that assesses educational programs comprehensively by considering various factors that influence their success. This model is based on the idea that an educational program is not only influenced by the learning process, but also by the characteristics of the students, environmental conditions, program objectives, the resources used, and the implementation mechanisms. Evaluation using the CIPP model is carried out by comparing the performance of each aspect of the program with certain criteria, so that the evaluator can describe and assess the strengths and weaknesses of the program. The model developed by Stufflebeam divides evaluation into four main dimensions, namely Context, Input, Process, and Product, all of which need to be analyzed before the program starts, during the program, and after the program is

completed to obtain comprehensive assessment results (Surahman et al., 2025). A brief explanation of the four dimensions is as follows:

1) Context: The Context component refers to the situation, conditions, and background that influence the direction of educational goals and strategies in a program. The context aspect covers various factors such as policies from relevant departments or work units, targets or goals to be achieved within a certain period, and staffing or human resource issues. All of these elements are important foundations in determining the type of program, learning objectives and the approach to be used in implementing the curriculum.
2) Input: The Input component relates to all resources prepared to support the implementation of educational programs. This aspect includes materials and tools such as curriculum documents, prepared teaching materials, the availability of competent educators, and supporting facilities and infrastructure. In addition, learning media, teaching aids, and other supporting tools are also included in the inputs that determine the quality of program implementation.
3) Process: The Process component is the actual implementation stage of the education program. This process includes how teaching and learning activities are carried out, the evaluation methods applied by teachers, and how the program is managed from an administrative and technical perspective. In this component, what is assessed is how inputs are used and applied, whether activities run according to plan, and how the interaction between teachers, students, media, and the learning environment takes place during the program (Maryati & Radiana, 2023).
4) Product: The Product component is a description of all the results achieved by the education program after it has been implemented. These results can include short-term achievements, such as an increase in student understanding, as well as long-term results, such as changes in attitude, skills, and graduate quality. The product also evaluates the extent to which the program objectives have been achieved and whether the impact is as expected. Thus, this component is a key indicator of the overall success of the education program (Rusmani & Arifmiboy, 2023).

Must be replaced with a new curriculum. In addition, curriculum evaluation is necessary to ensure that the curriculum remains relevant to developments in science, technological advances, and the ever-changing needs of the world of work. Evaluation can be carried out while the curriculum is being implemented (formative) or after the learning objectives have been achieved (summative). Formative evaluation provides an overview of aspects that are still weak so that improvements can be made immediately. Meanwhile, summative evaluation aims to assess whether the curriculum can still be maintained or needs to be replaced (Nisa & Hamami, 2023).

Curriculum Evaluators

Curriculum evaluators can be divided into two types, as follows:

a. Internal Evaluators

Internal evaluators are parties who evaluate the curriculum and come from the institution being evaluated. The main advantage of internal evaluators is their in-depth understanding of the curriculum, so that the evaluation process can be more targeted. In addition, the use of internal evaluators is also more cost-efficient, as the institution does not need to allocate additional funds to pay external evaluators. However, internal evaluators also have disadvantages, namely the potential for subjectivity in their assessments. Evaluators may tend to convey things that benefit themselves or their institutions, and there is a possibility that they will be less thorough in their evaluations because they are too close to the situation being evaluated.

b. External Evaluators

External evaluators are parties from outside the institution that is being evaluated and not involved in the implementation curriculum. Because they have no personal ties or interests, external evaluators are expected to work independently and objectively. The main advantage of external evaluators is their ability to provide more objective assessments, as they are not influenced by the

ISSN: 2654-8127

success or failure of curriculum implementation. In addition, the evaluation results they provide are not accompanied by emotional responses because they have no interest in showing that the curriculum is successful. Thus, the conclusions produced tend to be more in line with the actual conditions in the field.

However, external evaluators also have weaknesses. Because they are not involved in the daily activities of the institution and do not have an in-depth understanding of the curriculum, they have the potential to produce inaccurate conclusions. The use of external evaluators can also lead to wasteful spending because institutions must allocate large amounts of money for their services. Therefore, the recommended solution is to combine internal and external evaluators. Internal evaluators can help provide explanations and important information about curriculum implementation to external evaluators, making the evaluation process more accurate and efficient. This combined approach is beneficial for institutions because it saves costs while ensuring a more accurate and fair assessment. Before carrying out their duties, evaluators are also required to study and understand all aspects of the curriculum so that the evaluation results are truly accurate and do not harm any party.

Curriculum evaluation cannot be carried out by just anyone, but must be carried out by parties who have special qualifications and expertise in the field of curriculum. This is important so that the evaluation results are truly accurate, reliable, and do not cause errors in decision-making. Some of the requirements that evaluators must have include:

1) Evaluators must have theoretical and practical skills in conducting evaluations. This means that they understand the concepts, principles, and procedures of evaluation academically, as well as being able to apply them in practice.
2) Evaluators must be thorough and meticulous, so that they are able to identify details, weaknesses, and strengths in each part of the curriculum. This thoroughness is important so that no important aspects are overlooked.
3) An evaluator must be objective. They must not be influenced by personal or group interests, so that the data collected and conclusions drawn are truly in line with the actual conditions. Fourth, evaluators must be patient, persistent, and unhurried in their work, from the evaluation planning stage, instrument development, data collection, to the preparation of evaluation reports.
4) Evaluators must be careful and responsible in carrying out their duties. They must be able to account for every action, analysis, and decision made, including if there are risks or errors in the evaluation process. By fulfilling all these requirements, evaluators can produce curriculum assessments that are valid, objective, and useful for educational development.

CONCLUSION

Curriculum evaluation is a systematic process that plays an important role in ensuring that each component of the curriculum is able to function optimally to achieve educational goals. Through various evaluation models, ranging from research models, goal-oriented, goal-free, mixed multivariate, EPIC, to CIPP, evaluators can obtain a comprehensive picture of the effectiveness, relevance, and suitability of the curriculum to the needs of students and the demands of the times. Each evaluation model offers a different approach and focus, but all aim to assess the quality of planning, implementation, and outcomes achieved by a curriculum objectively and scientifically.

Furthermore, the success of curriculum evaluation is highly dependent on the competence of evaluators, both internal or external, who must have theoretical abilities, insight, objectivity, and professional responsibility. Proper evaluation not only forms the basis for program improvement but also ensures accountability to relevant parties and provides strategic recommendations for more effective curriculum development. Thus, curriculum evaluation is a key instrument in maintaining the quality of education and ensuring that the curriculum remains relevant to the development of science, technology, and the needs of modern education.

REFERENCES

[1] Eli Fitrotul Arofah. (2021). Evaluasi Kurikulum Pendidikan. Jurnal Tawadhu, 5(2), 218–229.
[2] Laksono, T. A., & Izzulka, I. F. (2022). Evaluasi Pengembangan Kurikulum Pendidikan. EDUKATIF : JURNAL ILMU PENDIDIKAN, 4(3), 4082–4092.
[3] Latifah, U. (n.d.). Fungsi dan Model Evaluasi Kurikulum dalam Pendidikan.
[4] Maryati, R., & Radiana, U. (2023). Evaluasi Program Sekolah Penggerak Menggunakan Model Context , Input , Process , Product (CIPP) di SMA Negeri 5 Sungai Raya Kabupaten Kubu Raya. NNOVATIVE: Journal Of Social Science Research, 3(5), 238–249.
[5] Nisa, F. I., & Hamami, T. (2023). Evaluasi Kurikulum Pendidikan Agama Islam. Risalah: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Studi Islam, 9(3), 1374–1386.
[6] Rusmani, M. A., & Arifmiboy. (2023). Evaluasi Kurikulum. Anthor: Education and Learning Journal, 2(3), 410–415.
[7] Sianturi, E. R., Simangunsong, F. A., Zebua, E. Y., & Turnip, H. (2022). PENGAWASAN DAN EVALUASI KURIKULUM. Pediaqu : Jurnal Pendidikan Sosial Dan Humaniora, 1(4), 175–183.
[8] Surahman, S., Hidayatullah, M. T., Widawati, A., & Agustin, D. (2025). Strategi Pengelolaan Informasi dan Kearsipan di Lembaga Pendidikan. Al-Ubudiyah: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Studi Islam, 6(1), 292–298.
[9] Tiniyyah, A. K., Fitri, A. Z., & Aziz, A. (2023). Evaluasi Kurikulum 2013 Menggunakan Model Campuran Multivariasi di Era Society 5.0: Studi Kasus di MAN 2 Kota Kediri. Dirasat: Jurnal Manajemen Dan Pendidikan Islam, 9(2), 137–150.
[10] Waqfin, M. S. I., Ramadhani, Y., Widiawati, M., Sofia, S., Charisya, R. M., & Azizah, U. N. (2024). Kedudukan Kurikulum dalam Pendidikan. Journal of Education and Management Studies, 7(6).
[11] Zainuri, A., & Kanada, R. (2023). Evaluasi Kurikulum Pendidikan : Memastikan Proses Pembelajaran Sesuai dengan Tujuan Pendidikan. El-Idare: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 9(1), 55–64.